EXHIBIT 4

```
Page 1
 1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
 2
 3
     JOSHUA GLASSCOCK, on
 4
     behalf of himself and
     all others similarly
 5
     situated,
 6
              Plaintiff,
                                   Case No.
                                   22-CV-3095-SRB
 7
        vs.
 8
     SIG SAUER, INC.,
 9
              Defendant.
10
11
                  VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF SIG
12
          SAUER, INC., VIA MATTHEW TAYLOR, taken
13
          pursuant to notice before Beth Gaige, RPR, and
14
          licensed court reporter in the State of New
15
          Hampshire at the offices of AC Hotels
16
          Marriott, 299 Vaughan Street, Portsmouth, New
17
          Hampshire, on September 10, 2024, commencing
18
          at 9:03 a.m.
19
2.0
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

30(b)(6) Page 18 In your discussions with Mr. Toner in advance 1 Q. 2 of today's deposition, what did you learn from 3 him? Timelines of when things happened. A lot of 4 Α. 5 it is refreshing my memory. Also details 6 related to the voluntary upgrade program and 7 MHS shipments. Things of that nature. 8 And this will be a running thing throughout. 0. 9 I know that acronyms are always popular with 10 every company, but lawyers hate them. 11 we always have to define as we go along. 12 When you refer to an MHS, what is that? 13 Modular Handgun System. Α. What does that mean? 14 Ο. 15 That was the opportunity with the army which Α. 16 resulted in our being awarded the contract for 17 the M17 and M18. 18 And so when we refer to the MHS or the Modular 0. 19 Handgun System, that is referring to the 20

- specific weapon and design specifications that ultimately became the military's adopted pistol, correct?
- 23 There was a product requirements document that Α. 24 we developed the products to, yes.
- 25 Okay. And if I've done my job correctly, Q.

21

	(-/(-/	
1		and and accepting those responsibilities of
2		knowing how to use or carry it safely.
3	Q.	But as to the question of manual safety, do
4		you think it can help ensure safer handling?
5	A.	It could augment safer handling.
6	Q.	And do you think that augmentation could
7		ensure safer handling?
8	A.	Not necessarily. Again it comes down to how
9		the user interfaces with the firearm.
LO	Q.	Do you agree it is advantageous to have a
L1		safety mechanism designed that provides two
L2		distinct positions, safe and fire?
L3	A.	Could you repeat the question, please?
L4	Q.	Of course.
L5		Do you agree that it is advantageous to
L6		have a safety mechanism designed that provides
L7		two distinct positions, safe and fire?
L8		MR. JOYCE: Objection to form.
L9		You can answer.
20	Α.	I think that some users and some law
21		enforcement agencies and other parties view it
22		as advantageous, and there are others which do
23		not view it as advantageous to have as a
24		feature set on a firearm.

25

BY MR. WERTS:

Q. And this is one of those you're Sig Sauer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you think it's advantageous?

A. I think it can be advantageous when it is what the customer wishes to have.

There are potential downsides in a life or death situation of a manual safety in the time to actually, the safety, to disengage it may add to the time required to fire a shot, if needed.

- Q. Is Sig Sauer aware of any instance where a user's inability to take the pistol off of a manual safety impacted their ability to use a Sig Sauer pistol to defend themselves?
- A. I do not know the answer to that question.
- Q. What steps has Sig Sauer ever undertaken to determine whether there is any validity to the position that the time to take a pistol off manual safety would have any impact in a self-defense situation?
- A. I am not aware of specific steps Sig Sauer has taken, but I am aware of the fact that there are Sig Sauer customers who do not want manual safeties on their firearms and have included such in product requirement specifications.
- Q. So you've got the customer -- we'll come back

1 A. Not currently.

- 2 Q. Have they in the past?
- 3 A. I don't know the answer to that definitively.
- $4 \mid Q$. Was the P250 an internal or external hammer?
- 5 A. The P250 hammer was visible. However, it did
 6 not have a hammer spur that you could easily
 7 actuate by hand.
- 8 Q. Would you agree with me that a manual safety
 9 is critical in preventing unintended firing of
 10 a weapon?
- 11 MR. JOYCE: Objection to form.
- 12 A. No.
- BY MR. WERTS:
- Q. What is a grip -- or I guess we got our
 definitions. I said I wasn't going to do that
 to you.
- 17 If you go back to page four, please?
- 18 A. (Witness complying.)
- Q. So grip safety it defines as primarily a
 feature of 1911-style handguns. Grip safety
 is a mechanical device build into the rear
 portion of a grip that prevents the pistol
 from firing unless it is firmly grasped.
- Do you see that?
- 25 A. I do see that.

1 A. I do. His first name was Tim, and he was in
2 product management at Sig Sauer.

Q. What is product management?

- A. Product management is a group that helps
 define what products we should develop as a
 company and what feature sets, and they should
 have, in some cases, what requirements they
 should meet.
- 9 | Q. Was that part of the marketing department?
- 10 A. Is it independent from marketing, but in some ways is a similar function.
- Q. But it is not part of Mr. Thomele's engineering department, is it?
- 14 A. Product management does not report to Mr.

 15 Thomele.
- 16 Q. Or Thomele, I'm sorry.
- Who does product management report?
- 18 A. Currently the head of that group would be Robbie Johnson.
- 20 | Q. What is Mr. Johnson's title?
- A. I cannot recall that specifically because it is very lengthy. But he is in charge of product management, but he also oversees functions at the SIG Experience Center. He has a host of responsibilities.

30(b)(6) September 10, 2024 Page 130 1 production blade trigger. 2 Either to consumers, law enforcement or the 3 military. True? Not to my knowledge. 4 Α. 5 Though there is a rendering in the lower 0. 6 right-hand corner of page 32 that would show 7 what that would look like, right? 8 It's showing one incarnation, yes. Α. 9 And then the manual thumb safety levers, that Ο. 10 was originally an optional device. It still 11 is, correct? 12 Manual thumb safety is still optional. Α. Yes. 13 It looks in the production versions a little 14 different than what see in the bottom left 15 picture, but yes. 16 And that's an optional safety device for some Q. 17

- models of the P320 but not all, correct?
- 18 We have not produced it as an option for all A. 19 models, yes.
- 20 And then the magazine safety, we talked about 0. 21 that.
- 22 That's just for the California compliant 23 pistols, right?
- The California compliant pistols are the only 24 Α. 25 ones, to my knowledge, that we have produced

1 works, correct?

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Doesn't have any impact on how the sear works.
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. Doesn't have any impact on any of the thingsthat make the gun go bang and work. True?
- 7 A. Yes. There is very slight -- it takes a very slightly higher force to -- to chamber the 9 round because you have lift the flag against 10 its spring to get the rim of the cartridge up 11 to be chambered, but outside of that it doesn't impact function.
- Q. But the functionality has more than enough force to -- or energy built in to be able to accomplish that, right?
- 16 A. It does.
- Q. Here's a term that we've kind of talked past, which is tool-less disassembly.
- 19 What does that mean?
- 20 A. I am sorry. What page are you on?
- 21 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Turn to page 33.
- A. (Witness complying). So that's referring
 to -- disassembly would be what we call field
 stripping where the slide, barrel and recoil
 spring is removed from the grip module and

Page 133
fire control unit. That's the disassembly

2 being referenced.

Tool-less means just that. No tools are required.

And when we say safe, part of what we were intending was that no actuation of trigger would be required by the user to accomplish this, and it would be -- no extra steps was our objective. It's just as a matter of the procedure for field stripping or disassembling the slide and barrel that it was safe and tool-less.

- Q. And the tool-less nature of the P320 extends as far as we don't need tools to even swap out fire control units from one pistol frame grip to another, correct?
- A. It is correct that you do not need tools to

 swap a fire control unit from one grip module

 to another. However, I don't believe that is

 what is being referenced in this page.
 - Q. That's true. I distinctively asked that just to take that off our list while we're taking about tool-lessness.
- 24 A. Mm-hmm.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

2.2

23

25 Q. But we're in violent agreement on that, right?

Page 141 1 project names, but combining those into one 2 project, to my knowledge that was the first 3 striker fired pistol design he worked on. 4 Anyone on your design team have any experience Q. 5 designing a striker fired pistol? 6 Not to my knowledge. Α. 7 So we talked about that there are certain 0. 8 models of the P320 that are not in production 9 for having a manual safety. Do you recall that? 10 There are certain models of the P320 in 11 Α. 12 production that do not have manual safeties. 13 Ο. Correct. 14 Specifically we talked about the carry, 15 as an example. You can't get a manual safety 16 on a carry currently. 17 Okay. Α. 18 Is that true? 0. 19 I don't recall. Α. 20 Was it the design engineering's team decision 0. 21 of which variants of the P320 were going to be 2.2 available with the manual safety and which 23 were not?

25

Q. Whose was it?

A. I would say that would have come to product management probably with input from marketing.

Q. We talked about those hazard risk assessments earlier.

Do you recall that?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Was the marketing department or the product management department involved in those discussions?
- 10 A. I don't recall.
- Q. In your time at Sig Sauer, has anyone from product management or marketing ever been involved in a hazard risk assessment for any product?
 - A. I don't recall specifics. In terms of general conversations, I am sure there have been discussions with product management about safety-related features, but I don't recall anything specific.
 - Q. Prior to the P320 every pistol that Sig Sauer ever made had a manual safety on it, correct?

 MR. JOYCE: Can you repeat that question?

 MR. WERTS: Sure.
- BY MR. WERTS:
- 25 Q. Prior to the P320 every pistol that Sig Sauer

Page 144 1 Certainly there are other striker fired 2 pistols of similar nature to the 320 that 3 don't have manual safeties. And the tab trigger viewed as a safety is really largely 4 5 about drop safety. That's not the best place 6 to try to incorporate a manual safety 7 characteristic of some trigger block. 8 BY MR. WERTS: 9 When the P320 was developed, were you aware Ο. 10 that the Sig Sauer P320 was going to be the 11 first striker fired pistol that was pre-cocked 12 that did not have any sort of manual safety on 13 it? 14 Asked and answered. MR. JOYCE: 15 You can answer again. 16 I -- there were other partially cocked striker Α. 17 fired pistols on the market without manual 18 safeties when we developed the P320. 19 BY MR. WERTS: 2.0 None of those pistols were 97 percent or more 0. pre-cocked though, were thev? 21 22 Α. I don't know the answer to that. 23 What steps did Sig Sauer take to investigate

I don't know that we tried to answer what

Q.

Α.

that question?

24

Page 165 1 the discussions I can't say exactly, other 2 than those people would have likely been 3 participating. 4 Do you know whether there was any e-mail Q. 5 correspondence about the safety 6 characteristics of the P320 during its design 7 development? 8 I don't recall that one way or the other. Α. 9 Have you looked? Ο. 10 Α. No. 11 Whether for this case or any other litigation Ο. 12 that's been going the last several years, have 13 you ever been asked to look for those 14 documents? 15 No, not that I recall. Α. 16 As part of the voluntary upgrade program, was Q. 17 any effort to perform any sort of hazard and 18 risk assessment or analysis in developing what 19 was to become the voluntary upgrade program? 20 The voluntary upgrade program design changes Α. 21 were intended to improve the safety 22 characteristics of the P320. I am not 23 knowledgeable about specific discussions that 24 were had pertaining to different aspects of

safety characteristics or the level...

Page 167 But the voluntary upgrade activities did not 1 Q. 2 begin until August of 2017, correct? 3 Α. That is not correct. August 2017 is when the launch of the -- the voluntary upgrade program 4 5 and the production of pistols with those 6 designs in them started, but the -- the 7 content and the testing that was behind the 8 voluntary upgrade changes started much earlier 9 than that. 10 But nothing had gone into production until O. 11 after Omaha Outdoors published its piece 12 regarding the P320, correct? 13 Objection to form. MR. JOYCE: 14 You can answer. 15 I don't recall the date that that Omaha video Α. 16 was published. I don't have that in my head 17 in the timeline for where that was. 18 BY MR. WERTS: 19 The Omaha Outdoors publication was in August 0. 20 of 2017. 21 Do you have any reason to dispute that? 22 Α. In that I don't recall when it was, no, I 23 don't have reason to dispute that.

> August of 2017 was also when we launched the voluntary upgrade program and started

24

Page 168 1 performing upgrades and producing P320s with that design incorporated. 2 3 All of those changes were made within a week 4 or two of the Omaha Outdoors public video, 5 correct? Objection to form. 6 MR. JOYCE: Asked and 7 He testified with respect to the answered. 8 process. 9 But you can answer it again. 10 Α. I don't know how many weeks or what the time 11 span between was, but I know that within the 12 month of August 2017 the launch of the 13 voluntary upgrade program happened and production of pistols with those components 14 15 started. 16 BY MR. WERTS: 17 All right. Let's take a look at this FMECA. Ο. 18 Are you able to read that or do I need to 19 bump it up a little bit? 20 Α. I think I can make it out. 21 So orient us to this document. Q. 2.2 on the first tab, which is FMECA. 23 What does -- how does one read this? 24 So in the left-hand column obviously is Α.

potential modes of failure as the header

1 target will be hit and not something else.

- 2 Q. What do you base that on?
- A. Experience shooting guns with heavy trigger pulls and shooting guns with lighter trigger pulls.
 - Q. And we talked a little bit earlier about Sig Sauer understanding that it was going to be selling the P320 for -- for -- to the general public for personal use.

Do you recall that -- those questions we had earlier?

- A. I do. It was intended for commercial public use, but it was also designed with the intent that it would be used by law enforcement and potentially military.
- Q. And within those design use cases Sig Sauer intended it, it being the P320, to be an everyday carry gun for consumers, correct?
- A. I -- certainly intended for personal protection as well as duty carry. Certainly it can include everyday carry.
- Q. And it was also intended for storage as a home defense weapon, as well, correct?
- 24 | A. Sure.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25 Q. Since the voluntary upgrade program Sig Sauer

Page 234 information? 1 2 Objection. MR. JOYCE: Overbroad. 3 You can answer. 4 Α. I mean it's certainly one of the 5 specifications that we have met when selling 6 product to the military. 7 BY MR. WERTS: 8 And does Sig consider the military's Q. 9 description of safety systems to be accurate? 10 Objection. MR. JOYCE: Overbroad. 11 That is too broad for me to answer. Α. 12 BY MR. WERTS: 13 Why? Ο. 14 We would have to review what all of those Α. 15 statements are and then assess each individually. 16 17 And so you leave open the possibility that Sig Ο. 18 Sauer may disagree with the army on firearm 19 safety? 20 Well, for example, the army required the Α. 21 manual safety on the M17 and M18, and we 22 certainly recognize that not all users and/or

consumers have that belief and not all of them

So, no I

want manual safety, as an example.

don't think I would agree that those

23

24

A. I do not know what the extent of government testing on that matter has been or what documentation they have behind those statements, but clearly it is supported by the fact that the inclusion of a manual safety on the M17 and M18 for MHS submissions was there.

- Q. And we know from our prior discussion that Sig Sauer has not done any testing to determine whether or not a manual safety is critical to prevent unintended firing of a weapon. True?
- A. A manual safety can certainly augment safe
 handling of a firearm, but manual safeties and
 other safety devices are -- are simply that,
 augmentation to safe handling practices.
- Q. And what testing has Sig Sauer undertaken to evaluate and form a basis for that statement?
- A. There has been all kinds of testing done by other -- other customers besides the army with P320s that don't have manual safeties.
- Q. And that's testing by customers. I am asking about what testing Sig Sauer did for itself.
- A. We -- we have testified P320s without manual safeties. I couldn't even hazard a guess to how many different P320s, and to my knowledge we've never had an unintentional discharge on

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

I, Beth Gaige, RPR, and licensed court reporter in the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the within-named deponent was sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the aforementioned cause of action.

I further certify that this deposition was stenographically reported by me and later reduced to print through computer-aided transcription, and the foregoing is a full and true record of the testimony given by the deponent.

I further certify that I am a disinterested person in the event or outcome of the above-named cause of action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 16th day of September, 2024.

2.2

Beth Gaige, LCR/RPR

New Hampshire Lic. No: 00153